

**CITY OF CEDAR HILL, TEXAS**

**SINGLE AUDIT REPORT**

September 30, 2020

CITY OF CEDAR HILL, TEXAS  
Cedar Hill, Texas

September 30, 2020

CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH <i>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</i> .....	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE .....	3
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS .....	5
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS .....	6
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS .....	7
SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS .....	8

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING  
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF  
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH  
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council,  
City of Cedar Hill, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Cedar Hill (the "City") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2021.

**Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

---

(Continued)

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Crowe LLP". The letters are cursive and somewhat stylized.

Crowe LLP

Dallas, Texas  
March 19, 2021

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM;  
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF  
EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council,  
City of Cedar Hill, Texas

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited the City of Cedar Hill's (the "City") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City's major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2020. The City's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

***Management's Responsibility***

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal program.

***Auditor's Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the City's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

***Opinion on the Major Federal Program***

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2020.

---

(Continued)

## Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

## Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended September 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2021, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

  
Crowe LLP

Dallas, Texas  
March 19, 2021

---

CITY OF CEDAR HILL, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
Year Ended September 30, 2020

Federal grantor/pass-through grantor/program title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Grantor's or Contract Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
<b><u>U.S. Department of Homeland Security (FEMA)</u></b>				
Pass Through Tx Dept of Public Safety TDEM- Emergency Management Performance Grant (Fire)	97.042	EMT-2019-EP-00005	72,497	\$ -
Pass Through Office of the Governor TDEM- Hurricane Dorian	97.025	N/A	17,357	-
US HHS Stimulus - COVID 19			16,820	-
UASI Regional Fire Training SCBA Cache Project		4044201	50,619	-
<b>Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security (FEMA)</b>			<b>157,294</b>	<b>-</b>
<b><u>U.S. Health and Human Services Commission</u></b>				
Pass Through Texas Health & Human Services Ambulance Supplemental Payment Program	93.778	N/A	313,973	-
<b>Total U.S. Health &amp; Human Services</b>			<b>313,973</b>	<b>-</b>
<b><u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u></b>				
Pass Through Texas Department of Transportation State and Community Highway Safety Program (STEP)	20.616	2019-CedarPD-IDM-00005	10,445	-
<b>Total U.S. Department of Transportation</b>			<b>10,445</b>	<b>-</b>
<b><u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</u></b>				
Pass Through Dallas County Community Development Block Grant - Weaver Sidewalk	14.218	N/A	4,202	-
Community Development Block Grant - Hickerson Street	14.218	N/A	45,988	-
<b>Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</b>			<b>50,189</b>	<b>-</b>
<b><u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</u></b>				
Pass Through Office of the Governor - Criminal Justice Division Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program (BVPP)	16.607	DJ-11-A10-26847-01	8,708	-
Pass Through Office of the Governor - Criminal Justice Division First Responder Mental Health Resiliency Program - VOCA	16.575	3707901	381,616	-
Asset Forfeiture	16.922	N/A	23,957	-
<b>Total U.S. Department of Justice</b>			<b>414,282</b>	<b>-</b>
<b><u>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY</u></b>				
Pass Through Dallas County CARES Act Program Funding	21.019	N/A	1,703,810	200,000
<b>Total U.S. Department of Treasury</b>			<b>1,703,810</b>	<b>200,000</b>
<b>Total Federal Expenditures</b>			<b>\$ 2,649,992</b>	<b>\$ 200,000</b>

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditure of federal awards.

CITY OF CEDAR HILL  
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
September 30, 2020

---

**NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the “Schedule”) includes the federal grant activity of the City of Cedar Hill, Texas (the City) under programs of the federal government for the year ended September 30, 2020. The City’s reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the City’s financial statements.

The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements. Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the City.

**NOTE 2 – BASIS OF ACCOUNTING**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is described in Note 1 to the City’s financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

**De Minimis Cost Rate:** The City has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

**Subrecipients:** Of the Federal expenditures presented in the schedule, the City provided \$200,000 of the CARES Act Program Funding to subrecipients.

**Non-Cash Assistance:** The City did not receive any non-cash assistance during the year.

**Federal Insurance:** The City had no Federal insurance in force during the year.

**Loan Activity:** The City had the following loan activity during the year:

Beginning -of-the year balance of loans from previous years for which the federal government imposes continuing compliance requirements	\$ -
Any interest subsidy, cash, or administrative cost allowance received:	\$ -
The amount of new loans made (distributed) during the fiscal year:	\$ 468,191
Total federal awards expended for loans and loan guarantees per Title 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart F, section .503 (b)	\$ 468,191
The amount of the Federal Awards Expended total that is included in the SEFA	\$ 468,191

**NOTE 3 – RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS**

Grant expenditure reports as of September 30, 2020, which have been submitted to grantor agencies will, in some cases, differ from amounts disclosed herein. The reports prepared for grantor agencies are typically prepared at a later date and often reflect refined estimates of the year-end accruals. The reports will agree at termination of the grant as the discrepancies noted are timing differences.



CITY OF CEDAR HILL  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
September 30, 2020

---

**Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results**

***Financial Statements***

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified?                           Yes      X   No

Significant deficiency(ies) identified?                   Yes      X   None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?                           Yes      X   No

***Federal Awards***

Internal Control over major program:

Material weakness(es) identified?                           Yes      X   No

Significant deficiency(ies) identified?                   Yes      X   None Reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major program: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be disclosed in accordance with the Uniform Guidance?                           Yes      X   No

Identification of major program:

**Grant Number**  
CFDA 20.019

**Name of Federal Program**  
Coronavirus Relief Fund

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:                    \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?                      X   Yes           No

**Section II - Financial Statement Findings**

There were no findings for the year ended September 30, 2020.

**Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs**

There were no findings for the year ended September 30, 2020.

---

CITY OF CEDAR HILL  
SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
September 30, 2020

---

**Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs**

There were no prior year findings.